

REFLECTION POSITIVE FORMULATION OF CHIRAL GAUGE THEORIES ON A LATTICE

Sergei V. Zenkin ¹

Institute for Nuclear Research of Russian Academy of Sciences,
60th October Anniversary Prospect 7a, 117312 Moscow, Russia

Abstract

Gauge invariant chiral theories satisfying the reflection positivity is constructed on a lattice. This requires the introduction of "half gauge fields" defined some time ago by Brydges, Fröhlich, and Seiler [7]. A two-dimensional model is considered in some detail.

¹E-mail: zenkin@inr.msk.su

1. Introduction

Reflection positivity, together with gauge invariance, plays a fundamental role in constructing continuum quantum gauge theories from lattice gauge theories (see, e.g., [1] and references therein). It allows one to construct the Hilbert space of states with positive definite metric and ensures the canonical quantum mechanical interpretation of the theories. This guarantees their unitarity, that is particularly important for gauge theories.

Vector lattice gauge theories like QCD naturally are reflection positive and gauge invariant, while for chiral ones it is a long standing problem to satisfy these properties. The only exception is mirror fermion model [2], however, it is actually chiral only in the broken phase of the Higgs sector. It is worth noting that it is the explicit gauge invariance of this model that makes it possible to prove its reflection positivity. Models with the Wilson-Yukawa couplings (see review [3] and references therein) appear not to be reflection positive [4] and unitary [5]. Besides, these models do not lead to chiral interacting continuum theories [6].

In this paper we propose a new formulation of chiral gauge theories on a lattice which is both reflection positive and gauge invariant.

2. Basic notations and definitions

Let us first give basic notations and definitions which largely coincide with those of ref. [7]. We consider a hypercubic D -dimensional (D is even) lattice Λ with sites numbered by $n = (n_0, \dots, n_{D-1})$, $-N/2 + 1 \leq n_\mu \leq N/2$, N is odd, with lattice spacing a . Let $\hat{\mu}$ be the unit vector along a lattice link in the positive μ -direction. We shall define a theory on a torus T_D which is obtained by the addition of links connecting each pair of sites with $n_\mu = N/2$ and $n_\mu = -N/2 + 1$. Thus, our lattice has N^D sites and $(DN)^D$ links.

Let $G = G^L \times G^R$ be the gauge group which we consider to be unitary. Dynamical variables of the theory are the fermion (Grassmannian) fields $\psi_n, \bar{\psi}_n$, defined on lattice sites, and the gauge variables $U_{n,n+\hat{\mu}}^{L,R} \in G^{L,R}$, $U_{n,n-\hat{\mu}} = U_{n-\hat{\mu},n}^\dagger$ defined on lattice links. We shall use the representation for $U^{L,R}$ introduced in ref. [7] in terms of "half gauge" variables $W_{(n,\pm\hat{\mu})}^{L,R} \in G^{L,R}$ associated with each pair $(n, \pm\hat{\mu})$:

$$U_{n,n+\hat{\mu}}^{L,R} = W_{(n,\hat{\mu})}^{L,R} W_{(n+\hat{\mu},-\hat{\mu})}^{L,R}{}^\dagger. \quad (1)$$

($W_{(n,\pm\hat{\mu})}$ is $w_{n,<n,n\pm\hat{\mu}}^\dagger$ of ref. [7].)

Gauge transformations are defined as follows:

$$\psi_n \rightarrow (h_n^L P_L + h_n^R P_R) \psi_n, \quad \bar{\psi}_n \rightarrow \bar{\psi}_n (h_n^{L\dagger} P_R + h_n^{R\dagger} P_L), \quad (2)$$

$$U_{m,n}^{L,R} \rightarrow h_m^{L,R} U_{m,n}^{L,R} h_n^{L,R\dagger}, \quad W_{(n,\pm\hat{\mu})}^{L,R} \rightarrow h_n^{L,R} W_{(n,\pm\hat{\mu})}^{L,R}, \quad (3)$$

where $h_n^{L,R} \in G^{L,R}$, $P_{L,R} = (1 \pm \gamma_{D+1})/2$, $\gamma_{D+1} = -i^{D/2} \gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_{D-1}$ (we use Hermitean γ -matrices with $[\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu]_+ = 2\delta_{\mu\nu}$).

Let $\Lambda_\pm$ denote the equal parts of the lattice with $n_0 > 0$ and $n_0 < 0$, respectively, and let r be such a reflection, that $r\Lambda_\pm = \Lambda_\mp$. So, the reflection do not change n_μ and $\hat{\mu}$ for $\mu \neq 0$, while $rn_0 = -n_0 + 1$, $r\hat{0} = -\hat{0}$.

Given reflection r , an antilinear operator θ is defined such as

$$\theta[\bar{\psi}_m \Gamma U_{m,n} \cdots W_{(n,\pm\hat{\mu})} \cdots \psi_n] = \bar{\psi}_{rn} \gamma_0 \cdots W_{r(n,\pm\hat{\mu})}^\dagger \cdots U_{rn,rm} \Gamma^\dagger \gamma_0 \psi_{rm}, \quad (4)$$

where Γ is a matrix.

A theory with an action $A[\psi, \bar{\psi}, W]$ is called reflection positive if for each functional $F[\psi, \bar{\psi}, W]$ defined on Λ_+ one has

$$\int \prod_{n \in \Lambda} d\psi_n d\bar{\psi}_n \prod_{n \in \Lambda, \mu} dW_{(n,\hat{\mu})} dW_{(n,-\hat{\mu})} F\theta[F] e^{-A} \geq 0, \quad (5)$$

where $dW_{(n,\pm\hat{\mu})}$ denotes the Haar measure.

The sufficient condition for a theory with an action A to be reflection positive is existing such functionals $B[\psi, \bar{\psi}, W]$ and $C_i[\psi, \bar{\psi}, W]$ defined on Λ_+ that A can be represented in the form [7]

$$-A = B + \theta[B] + \sum_i C_i \theta[C_i]. \quad (6)$$

3. Constructing the theory

We proceed from the lattice action for free massless fermions of the form [8]

$$A = a^D \sum_{n \in \Lambda, \mu} \bar{\psi}_n \left[\gamma_\mu \frac{1}{2a} (\psi_{n+\hat{\mu}} - \psi_{n-\hat{\mu}}) - T \frac{1}{2a} (\psi_{n+\hat{\mu}} + \psi_{n-\hat{\mu}} - 2\psi_n) \right], \quad (7)$$

where $\psi_{(\dots, N/2+1, \dots)} = -\psi_{(\dots, -N/2+1, \dots)}$, $\psi_{(\dots, -N/2, \dots)} = -\psi_{(\dots, N/2, \dots)}$; T is a unitary matrix under constraint $T^\dagger = \gamma_0 T \gamma_0$. This is the general form of the lattice fermion action which is determined by the finite dimension approximation of functional integrals for canonical Hamiltonian (Grassmannian) dynamics and satisfies condition (6) of reflection positivity; at $T = 1$ it is exactly the Wilson fermion action.

It is not invariant under the global transformation of the form (2). Therefore, we seek the gauge action in the form

$$fA = a^D \sum_{n \in \Lambda, \mu} \bar{\psi}_n \left[\gamma_\mu \frac{1}{2a} \left((P_L U_{n, n+\hat{\mu}}^L + P_R U_{n, n+\hat{\mu}}^R) \psi_{n+\hat{\mu}} - (P_L U_{n, n-\hat{\mu}}^L + P_R U_{n, n-\hat{\mu}}^R) \psi_{n-\hat{\mu}} \right) - \frac{1}{2a} \left((P_L X_{n, n+\hat{\mu}}^L + P_R X_{n, n+\hat{\mu}}^R) \psi_{n+\hat{\mu}} + (P_L X_{n, n-\hat{\mu}}^L + P_R X_{n, n-\hat{\mu}}^R) \psi_{n-\hat{\mu}} - 2(P_L Y_{(n, \hat{\mu})}^L + P_R Y_{(n, \hat{\mu})}^R) \psi_n \right) \right], \quad (8)$$

where X and Y are some functions of W . Let us now require the action (8) to be invariant under rotations of the lattice by $\pi/2$, and to satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) condition (6) of reflection positivity;
- (ii) gauge invariance;
- (iii) for vector group $G^L = G^R$ at $T = 1$ it takes the familiar Wilson form;
- (iv) in the ungauged limit $W = 1$ it takes the form of eq. (7).

Then from (i) and (iv) we find

$$\begin{aligned} X_{n, n+\hat{\mu}}^L &= W_{(n, \hat{\mu})}^R T W_{(n+\hat{\mu}, -\hat{\mu})}^{L\dagger}, & X_{n, n-\hat{\mu}}^L &= W_{(n, -\hat{\mu})}^R T W_{(n-\hat{\mu}, \hat{\mu})}^{L\dagger}, \\ X_{n, n+\hat{\mu}}^R &= W_{(n, \hat{\mu})}^L T W_{(n+\hat{\mu}, -\hat{\mu})}^{R\dagger}, & X_{n, n-\hat{\mu}}^R &= W_{(n, -\hat{\mu})}^L T W_{(n-\hat{\mu}, \hat{\mu})}^{R\dagger}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

while from (ii) – (iv) one has

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{(n, \hat{\mu})}^L &= \frac{1}{2} \left(W_{(n, \hat{\mu})}^R T W_{(n, \hat{\mu})}^{L\dagger} + W_{(n, -\hat{\mu})}^R T W_{(n, -\hat{\mu})}^{L\dagger} \right), \\ Y_{(n, \hat{\mu})}^R &= \frac{1}{2} \left(W_{(n, \hat{\mu})}^L T W_{(n, \hat{\mu})}^{R\dagger} + W_{(n, -\hat{\mu})}^L T W_{(n, -\hat{\mu})}^{R\dagger} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

So these requirements determine the action uniquely. The important point, which we come to by product, is that the Feynman rules for action (8) at $a \rightarrow 0$ coincides with ones for the corresponding continuum theory. Besides, in a pure chiral case, say $G^R = 1$, the action has the Golterman-Petcher symmetry [9], i.e. is invariant under the global transformations

$\psi_n \rightarrow \psi_n + P_R \epsilon$, $\bar{\psi}_n \rightarrow \bar{\psi}_n + \bar{\epsilon} P_L$, that guarantees the decoupling of the right-handed fermions in the continuum limit.

The full action contains pure gauge part which we require to satisfy the points (i) – (iii). Then it is also determined in fact uniquely and has the form

$$A_{gauge} = \sum_{Plaquettes \in \Lambda} \left(\beta_L A_P[U^L] + \beta_R A_P[U^R] \right) + \sum_{n \in \Lambda, \mu} \zeta Tr \left(Y_{(n, \hat{\mu})}^L Y_{(n, \hat{\mu})}^{L\dagger} - 1 \right), \quad (11)$$

where $A_P[U]$ are the Wilson plaquette action.

One can rewrite actions (8) and (11), and the measure in the functional integrals in terms of $U_{n, n \pm \hat{\mu}}^{L, R}$ and $W_{(n, \hat{\mu})}^{L, R}$. Then our theory is determined by functional integrals of the form

$$Z^{-1} \int \prod_{n \in \Lambda} d\psi_n d\bar{\psi}_n \prod_{n \in \Lambda, \mu} dU_{n, n+\hat{\mu}}^L dU_{n, n+\hat{\mu}}^R dW_{(n, \hat{\mu})}^L dW_{(n, \hat{\mu})}^R F[\psi, \bar{\psi}, U^L, U^R] e^{-A[\psi, \bar{\psi}, U^L, U^R, W^L, W^R]}, \quad (12)$$

where Z is partition function of the theory, F is a gauge invariant functional of the dynamical variables, and A is three parametric action which is sum of (8) and (11). Thus, the fundamental difference of chiral gauge theories from vector ones is untrivial integration over variables $W_{(n, \hat{\mu})}^{L, R}$ which in this case cannot be cancel out from the action by any way, including a gauge fixing.

4. Two-dimensional model

To demonstrate how such a formulation works, we consider in more detail exactly solvable model which is a generalization of the chiral Schwinger model [10]. Let $D = 2$, $G = U(1)$, g be the gauge coupling; fermions carry an isotopic index, and left-handed and right-handed fermions have charges gQ_L and gQ_R , respectively, where $Q_{L, R}$ some diagonal matrices; $T = 1$. Then $U_{n, n+\hat{\mu}}^{L, R} = (U_{n, n+\hat{\mu}})^{Q_{L, R}}$, $U_{n, n+\hat{\mu}} = \exp[igaA_\mu(n + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\mu})]$, where A_μ is the gauge field, and $W_{(n, \hat{\mu})}^{L, R} = (W_{(n, \hat{\mu})})^{Q_{L, R}}$. For variable W there is no a representation in terms of a local field which transforms as an irreducible representation of the group of rotation of the Euclidean space. However, to allow for a perturbative consideration we introduce variables $z_{\hat{\mu}}(n)$ so that $W_{(n, \hat{\mu})} = \exp[igaz_{\hat{\mu}}(n)]$. Then the gauge transformations looks as

$$A_\mu(n + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\mu}) \rightarrow A_\mu(n + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\mu}) + \frac{1}{a}(\alpha_{n+\hat{\mu}} - \alpha_n), \quad z_{\hat{\mu}}(n) \rightarrow z_{\hat{\mu}}(n) - \frac{1}{a}\alpha_n, \quad (13)$$

where $h_n = \exp(-ig\alpha_n)$.

Let us consider the continuum limit of the effective actions

$$W[U] = -\ln \int \prod_{n \in \Lambda} d\psi_n d\bar{\psi}_n \prod_{n \in \Lambda, \mu} dW_{(n, \hat{\mu})} e^{-A[\psi, \bar{\psi}, U, W]}. \quad (14)$$

We divide the calculation into two steps. First we calculate the effective action

$$W[U, W] = -\ln \int \prod_{n \in \Lambda} d\psi_n d\bar{\psi}_n e^{-A[\psi, \bar{\psi}, U, W]}. \quad (15)$$

While a finite a expression for $W[U, W]$ is very cumbersome and hardly tractable, its continuum limit in terms of A and z can be found in the closed form. The crucial simplification comes from using the Reisz theorems [11]. So, for sufficient smooth variables A and z we find

$$\begin{aligned} W[A, z] = & \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^2 q}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{\mu, \nu} \left[A_\mu(q) \left(\delta_{\mu\nu} q^2 - q_\mu q_\nu + \frac{1}{2} Tr(Q_L^2 + Q_R^2) \Pi_{\mu\nu}^{AA}(q) \right) A_\nu(q) \right. \\ & + \frac{1}{2} Tr(Q_L - Q_R)^2 \left(\bar{A}_\mu(q) (-\zeta g^2 \delta_{\mu\nu} + \Pi_{\mu\nu}^{\bar{A}\bar{A}}) \bar{A}_\nu(q) \right. \\ & + \bar{A}_\mu(q) \Pi_{\mu\nu}^{\bar{A}z} z_\nu(q) + z_{\hat{\mu}}(q) \Pi_{\mu\nu}^{z\bar{A}} \bar{A}_\nu(q) + z_{\hat{\mu}}(q) \Pi_{\mu\nu}^{zz} z_\nu(q) \left. \right) \\ & \left. + \frac{i}{2} Tr(Q_L^2 - Q_R^2) \bar{A}_\mu(q) K_{\mu\nu}^{\bar{A}\bar{A}}(q) \bar{A}_\nu(q) \right]. \quad (16) \end{aligned}$$

Here $\bar{A}_\mu(q)$ is $a \rightarrow 0$ limit of the gauge invariant combination $A_\mu(q) + 2i \sin(\frac{1}{2} q_\mu a) z_{\hat{\mu}}(q)$,

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}^{AA}(q) = \frac{g^2}{\pi} (\delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{q_\mu q_\nu}{q^2}), \quad K_{\mu\nu}^{\bar{A}\bar{A}}(q) = \frac{g^2}{2\pi} \frac{1}{q^2} (\epsilon_{\mu\alpha} q_\alpha q_\nu + q_\mu \epsilon_{\nu\alpha} q_\alpha), \quad (17)$$

and $\Pi_{\mu\nu}^{\bar{A}\bar{A}}, \Pi_{\mu\nu}^{\bar{A}z} = \Pi_{\mu\nu}^{z\bar{A}}$, and $\Pi_{\mu\nu}^{zz}$ are some symmetrical matrices independent of q . From the Ward identities it follows $\sum_\mu \Pi_{\mu\nu}^{z\bar{A}} = \sum_\nu \Pi_{\mu\nu}^{\bar{A}z} = 0$, so, effective action (16) is explicitly gauge invariant. The following relations are also satisfied:

$$\Pi_{00} = \Pi_{11}, \quad \Pi_{\mu\nu}^{\bar{A}z} = -\frac{1}{2} \Pi_{\mu\nu}^{zz}, \quad \Pi_{01}^{\bar{A}z} = -2\Pi_{01}^{\bar{A}\bar{A}}. \quad (18)$$

Numerically the elements of these matrices depend on infrared regularization used and have not a deep meaning; we started with the finite lattice which ensures such a regularization, then $\Pi_{00}^{\bar{A}\bar{A}} = 1.959(7)g^2/(2\pi)$, $\Pi_{01}^{\bar{A}\bar{A}} = -0.361(6)g^2/(2\pi)$. Obviously expression (16) has no continuum rotational symmetry.

Now we can represent the continuum limit of effective action (14) as

$$W[A] = -\ln \int \prod_{q,\mu} dz_{\hat{\mu}}(q) e^{-W[A,z]}. \quad (19)$$

As $\det \Pi_{\mu\nu}^{zz} = 0$, we have to fix a gauge. Let us choose $z_0 = 0$. Then, performing the Gaussian integration with taking into account (18) we find finally

$$W[A] = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^2 q}{(2\pi)^2} A_{\mu}(q) \left[\delta_{\mu\nu} q^2 - q_{\mu} q_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(Q_L^2 + Q_R^2) \Pi_{\mu\nu}^{AA}(q) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(Q_L - Q_R)^2 (-\zeta g^2 + \Pi^{\bar{A}\bar{A}}) \delta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{i}{2} \text{Tr}(Q_L^2 - Q_R^2) K_{\mu\nu}^{\bar{A}\bar{A}}(q) \right] A_{\nu}(q), \quad (20)$$

where $\Pi^{\bar{A}\bar{A}} = \Pi_{00}^{\bar{A}\bar{A}} + \Pi_{01}^{\bar{A}\bar{A}}$. This is exactly what one would expect. This expression is rotational invariant and reproduces all well-known features of the vector Schwinger model ($Q_L = Q_R$) and purely chiral one ($Q_R = 0$), including both mechanisms of gauge boson mass generation and two-dimensional anomaly $K^{\bar{A}\bar{A}}(q)$ [10].

Let us note that despite the second term in the action (11) the gauge boson can acquire a mass only in the presence of the fermions, i.e. when $\Pi \neq 0$. Then the mass can be tuned by parameter ζ . Otherwise, it remains massless. Indeed, integrating over $z_{\hat{\mu}}$ in (19) at $\Pi = K = 0$ and taking into account the trace of z in $\bar{A}$ we find that the counterpart of ζ is canceled out from $W[A]$.

5. Conclusion

Both reflection positivity and gauge invariance of our formulation of chiral gauge theories on a lattice are due to the use of "half gauge" variables $W_{(n,\pm\hat{\mu})}$ in terms of which gauge variables U are represented. The theory can be rewritten in terms of U and, e.g., $W_{(n,\hat{\mu})}$. In the vector limit $G^L = G^R$ the latter vanish in the action, so that integration over them becomes trivial at arbitrary a . In the general case of chiral theories integration over W is not factorized even at $a \rightarrow 0$, as our two-dimensional example demonstrates. Moreover, this integration is found to be necessary for restoring the rotation invariance of the theory in the continuum limit.

Certainly, considered two-dimension model is only the first test of this formulation and many questions are still to be answered for establishing its status. The principal ones concern phase structure and the continuum limit of four-dimensional theories. However, the

fact that this formulation satisfies all basic requirements as invariance under the lattice translations and rotations, reflection positivity, and gauge invariance, is good reason to hope that it ensures the correct nonperturbative definition of the chiral gauge theories, including asymptotically free ones and realistic models.

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to M. I. Polikarpov and S. V. Shabanov for useful discussions at the early stage of the work, and to J. L. Alonso, M. Asorey, J. L. Cortés, and J. G. Esteve for interesting discussion at the final stage of the work.

It is pleasure to thank J. L. Alonso and Departamento de Física Teórica de la Facultad de Ciencias de la Universidad de Zaragoza, where this work has been completed, for their warm hospitality.

References

- [1] E. Seiler, Lecture Notes in Physics 159 (Springer-Verlag, 1982).
- [2] I. Montvay, Phys. Lett. B199 (1987) 89;
L. Lin, I. Montvay, H. Wittig, G. Münster, Nucl. Phys. B335 (1991) 511.
- [3] J. Smit, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 17 (1990) 3.
- [4] J. Smit, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 20 (1991) 542.
- [5] S. V. Zenkin, preprint INR-747/91, (Moscow, 1991); to be published in the proceedings of the 1991 Symposium on Lattice Field Theory, Tsukuba, Japan, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.).
- [6] M. F. L. Golterman, D. N. Petcher, J. Smit, preprint ITFA-91-25, UCLA/91/TEP/26, WUSL-HEP-91-GP1 (1991).
- [7] D. Brydges, J. Fröhlich, E. Seiler, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 121 (1979) 227.
- [8] S. V. Zenkin, Mod. Phys Lett. A6 (1991) 151; preprint IFUP-TH 45/90 (Pisa, 1990).

- [9] M. F. L. Golterman, D. N. Petcher, Phys. Lett. B225 (1989) 159.
- [10] R. Jackiw, R. Rajaraman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54 (1985) 1219.
- [11] T. Reisz, Commun. Math. Phys. 116 (1988) 573, 117 (1988) 639.